

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box, 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/778,036	02/07/2001	Nobuhiro Usui	P 276747 558762	6197
75	90 12/19/2003	EXAMINER		
	oin & Flannery	VO, HAI		
1801 K Street N Suite 401L	W		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, De	C 20006-1201	1771		

DATE MAILED: 12/19/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

جمعة عاستها بمجهة					. ~				
		Appli	cation No.	Applicant(s)	10				
Office Action Summary		09/77	78,036	USUI ET AL.	(P)				
		Exam	iner	Art Unit					
		Hai V		1771					
7 Period for F	he MAILING DATE of this committee	unication appears or	1 the cover sheet	with the correspondence ac	ldress				
THE MA - Extension after SIX - If the peri - If NO per - Failure to - Any reply	TENED STATUTORY PERIOD ILING DATE OF THIS COMMU is of time may be available under the provision (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this cood for reply specified above is less than thirty ind for reply is specified above, the maximum reply within the set or extended period for received by the Office later than three months attent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In remunication. (30) days, a reply within the statutory period will apply a ply will, by statute, cause this after the mailing date of the	no event, however, may e statutory minimum of t and will expire SIX (6) May e application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timel DNTHS from the mailing date of this o ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ R∈	esponsive to communication(s) t	filed on <u>12 Septemb</u>	<u>er 2003</u> .						
2a)⊠ Th	is action is FINAL .	2b) This action i	s non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition	of Claims								
4a) 5)□ Cla 6)⊠ Cla 7)□ Cla	aim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are pending in the Of the above claim(s) is aim(s) is/are allowed. aim(s) <u>1-7</u> is/are rejected. aim(s) is/are objected to. aim(s) are subject to rest	/are withdrawn from							
Application	Papers								
9) <u></u> The	e specification is objected to by	the Examiner.							
• •	e drawing(s) filed on is/ar	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	-					
	plicant may not request that any ob		•						
	placement drawing sheet(s) includi	_	•		• •				
-	e oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner	. Note the attach	ed Office Action of form Fi	10-132.				
•	er 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 knowledgment is made of a clai	ing for foreign priority	under 25 H.C.C	(4) mm (5)					
a)	All b) Some * c) None of Certified copies of the priori Certified copies of the priori Copies of the certified copie application from the International the attached detailed Office acrowledgment is made of a claim a specific reference was include FR 1.78. The translation of the foreign I nowledgment is made of a claim ence was included in the first seems.	ty documents have ty documents have ty documents have so of the priority doctional Bureau (PCT tion for a list of the confor domestic prioritied in the first sente anguage provisional for domestic priorities.	been received. been received in uments have bee Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies no y under 35 U.S.Cence of the specification has y under 35 U.S.Cence value of the specification has	Application No en received in this National of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional ication or in an Application been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	I application) Data Sheet. a specific				
Attachment(s)	•								
2) 🔲 Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)		_	/ Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(f Informal Patent Application (PTC					

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 6-344362 in view of Ozeki et al (US 6,080,469) as set forth in the Office Action mailed on 03/26/2003. With regard to newly added claim 7, JP'362 disclosed a laminated article made of a polypropylene based resin having a melt flow rate valued of 1 to 50 g/10 min [0018] within the claimed range. The art rejections are maintained for the following reasons. Applicants argue that Ozeki teaches a laminated article comprising a foam substrate having on its both sides skin materials different in thickness and in material; therefore, the skilled artisan would not be motivated to modify the technology of JP'362 so as to form two solidified layers from the same materials as that of a foamed layer so that the solidified layers have different thicknesses. The arguments are not found persuasive for patentability. In the first place, it appears that JP'362 and the Ozeki reference are related to a laminated foam sheet for a vehicle interior. Secondly, Ozeki does not need to address the foamed base and skin layers being made of the same material because such is already taught in the primary reference JP'362. As a secondary reference, Ozeki provides motivation to the skilled artisan to modify the thickness of the two solidified

Art Unit: 1771

layers in the JP'362. The teaching of Ozeki would give the skilled artisan the tools necessary to conclude that it is desirable to have the two solidified layers having different thickness to balance the cooling rates between upper and the rear surfaces of the laminated foam sheet coming out of the oven after heating and standing for cooling in the atmosphere before molding, so as to reduce a residual strain generated at molding. The examiner believes that such is important to the invention of JP'362, thus suggesting the modification. For the forgoing reasons, the examiner maintains that the combination of JP'362 and Ozeki is sufficient and proper and the art rejections are thus sustained.

- 3. The art rejections over Kitayama in view of Ozeki have been overcome by the present response. The Kitayama reference with the 102 (e) date has a common assignee with the instant application and thus is disqualified from being prior art under 35 USC 103.
- 4. The art rejections over Ozeki have been overcome by the present amendment.
- 5. In response to Request to correct PTO records, the corrected official correspondence has been updated and entered to the proper, lawful, and duly appointed counsel of record in the application. The corrected official correspondence is

Fitch, Even, Tabin & Flannery

1801 K Street, N.W.

Suite 401 L

Washington, D.C. 20006-1201

Art Unit: 1771

6. The examiner wishes to thank Mr. Colton for a two-page document captioned "partial translation of JP 6-344362".

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hai Vo whose telephone number is (703) 605-4426. The examiner can normally be reached on M,T,Th, F, 8:30-6:00 and on alternating Wednesdays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel Morris can be reached on (703) 308-2414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310.

Application/Control Number: 09/778,036

Art Unit: 1771

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

HV

TERREL MORRÍS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700